

**N**EXT, for a term of years, as may be at first-class hotel known as the New Eng Armide, now in full trade, and doing the in the Northern districts.

This is an opportunity that rarely presents a respectable party it is a sure fortune.

The present occupant and owner having tenancy, and being desirous of retiring from business why it is in the market.

The premises are extensive, convenient, order, and possession can be had immediately.

References can be made to Dangar, Gilchrist, Colborne-street, and Samuel Cohen and Co., Sydney: or to L. W. Levy, Esq., West Macquarie-street, Sydney.



## LAW.

## SUPREME COURT.—THURSDAY.

**THE PEOPLE v. JUSTICE WISE AND A JURY OF FOUR.**  
**HENRY V. ROBINSON.**  
 This was an action by a creditor, for the recovery of goods from Sydney, at the rate of 25 per cent. on the plaintiff's claim being, in all, £50 15s. Defendant pleaded, by way of cross-action, that plaintiff had undertaken to leave the goods in his possession, and that, had he, instead, sent them by bullock teams, in consequence of which their delivery had been delayed, and defendant had sustained loss.

Mr. Butler appeared for the plaintiff, and Mr. Stephen for the defendant.  
 The fact of a contract to carry the goods by horse drays was established. Defendant averred that he had lost £3 by having to purchase goods for his customers in consequence of the non-arrival of the drays, and £7 through being unable to sell as he had expected, also, that having been, from the same cause, unable to execute an order for £400, he had not only lost the profits of this transaction, but had been injured in his general business. On the other hand it was asserted that it was the rainy weather and not the use of bullocks instead of horses which had caused the delay. There was a contest as to whether there had been an actual and definite loss, or a merely probable loss of contingent profits, the latter not being legally recoverable.

His Honor summed up, directing the jury to find, in the first instance, whether the charge was a reasonable one, and, if so, whether the plaintiff's place of cross-action, was entitled to set off any, and, if any, what damages on the ground of delay.

The jury found verdict for the plaintiff for the full amount claimed, £50 15s.

**JUROR VINDICTIVE.**—Mr. John Dixon, of Darling street, Balmain, was fined £5 for non-attendance as a juror.

**SMOOTHING THE RUG.**—This was an action by Mr. Charles Macdonald, of Pitt-street, against Messrs. Louis and Saul Samuel, of 220, being commission at 25 per cent. upon £12,000 for the sale of premises in Pitt-street, to Mr. Giles. Defendant pleaded that they were never indebted to him.

Mr. Stephen appeared for the plaintiff, and Mr. Holroyd for the defendant.

The purchase had been made from the plaintiff, but it was denied that there had been any contract to purchase, and was asserted on the contrary that the liability for such commission had always been disclaimed. It was contended that there had been an express contract, but the question was whether there had been one, and if so, whether it was enforceable. The evidence of the plaintiff and the defendant were conflicting. Mr. Giles stated that at one of the conversations there was something said about commission, but he did not say that there was any commission fairly recoverable by plaintiff, it was Giles who ought to pay it.

Verdict for the plaintiff, damages £120, being an allowance of 1 per cent. commission.

**BANKRUPT COURT.**  
 Before the Chief Justice and a jury of four.

**GUARANTEE V. MURKIN.**  
 This was an action by Messrs. Graham, Brothers, and Co., of Melbourne, against Mr. M. E. Morrin, of Sydney, upon the debt alleged to be due from him to the plaintiff, in the management of certain estates wherein the plaintiff was jointly interested with the defendant.

Mr. Darvall, C. C. for the plaintiff, and Mr. Stephen for the defendant, and Sir William Manning, C. C. for the defendant.

The trial was not concluded.

**INSOLVENCY COURT.**  
 THURSDAY.

Before the Chief Commissioner.

In the estate of John M. Johnson, a third meeting. One debt was proved. The official assignee was not present, and a report, but was directed to do so in the course of the day.

In the estate of Charles Cameron, a second meeting. One debt was proved. It was stated that incident had left the colony.

In the estate of William Hittcock, an adjourned single meeting. One debt was proved. Incident was attended by Mr. Dillon, under a Judge's order, as to certain assets and dealings.

In the estate of John M. Davis, a first meeting. Neither the insolvent nor any creditor appeared.

In the estate of Benjamin Byrnes, a single meeting. Two debts were proved, and one was not. Incident was caused by a misadventure, and was allowed to remain in the household furniture.

In the estate of George F. Baker, a first meeting. Two debts were proved.

In the estate of Luke Williams, a meeting for the ratification of the ratification of the official assignee. Incident was caused by a misadventure, and was allowed to remain in the household furniture. Mr. Perry applied for ratification thereof. His Honor, after enquiring into the circumstances, ratified the decision.

**WATER POLICE COURT.**  
 FRIDAY.

Before the Water Police Magistrate and Mr. J. Kettle.

Three persons were convicted of having been found drunk in public places, were severely fined, and one was committed to the workhouse for non-payment. Another, whose frequent offences had brought her within the operation of the Vagrancy Act, was sentenced to be imprisoned for three months.

Joseph Moore, for having, in a public place, made use of obscene language, was ordered to pay £20 penalty, or to be imprisoned for three months.

Joseph Smith, eleven years of age, was summoned, on conviction of having stolen one knife, of the value of 2s., the property of William H. Hopkins, of George-street, ironmonger, and was remanded to pay a penalty of 20s., or to be imprisoned for three months.

Charles Hodges, found to be a person of unsound mind, and incapable of taking care of himself, was required to give security for his good behavior, or in default thereof to be imprisoned (for medical treatment) fourteen days.

Six persons were found guilty of having in various ways violated the regulations of the Police Act, and were fined, or committed to the workhouse for non-payment.

George Harris, thirteen years of age, was summoned, on conviction of having stolen one knife, of the value of 2s., the property of William H. Hopkins, of George-street, ironmonger, and was remanded to pay a penalty of 20s., or to be imprisoned for three months.

Benjamin Cotton was fined 10s. and costs, on conviction of having committed a nuisance, and was committed to the workhouse for non-payment.

James Fowler was fined in a similar manner for endangering the public safety, and his own, by furiously riding.

Seven cases were struck out of the paper for want of prosecution.

**WATER POLICE COURT.**  
 FRIDAY.

Before the Water Police Magistrate and Mr. J. Kettle.

Three persons were convicted of having been found drunk in public places, were severely fined, and one was committed to the workhouse for non-payment. Another, whose frequent offences had brought her within the operation of the Vagrancy Act, was sentenced to be imprisoned for three months.

Joseph Moore, for having, in a public place, made use of obscene language, was ordered to pay £20 penalty, or to be imprisoned for three months.

## PARRAMATTA.

## [FROM OUR CORRESPONDENT.]

## POLICE OFFICE.

**WEDNESDAY, 26th November.**—Before Messrs. Connelly, Langley, and Neale. In John Cuff who, while drunk, endangered his life, by trying across the line of railway, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

## ST. ANDREW'S DAY.

## [FROM OUR CORRESPONDENT.]

## POLICE OFFICE.

**WEDNESDAY, 26th November.**—Before Messrs. Connelly, Langley, and Neale. In John Cuff who, while drunk, endangered his life, by trying across the line of railway, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

## NATIONAL EDUCATION.

## [FROM OUR CORRESPONDENT.]

## POLICE OFFICE.

**WEDNESDAY, 26th November.**—Before Messrs. Connelly, Langley, and Neale. In John Cuff who, while drunk, endangered his life, by trying across the line of railway, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.

Mr. Hudson v. David Lewis.—This was an affidavit, again brought by the complainant, the particular of the case being the same as in the first instance. Mr. Gould appeared for the complainant, Mr. Oulton for the defendant. The Bench dismissed the case.

**Monday, 26th November.**—Before Mr. C. M. Rice, J.P. In John Cuff, for allowing animals to stray in the streets, was fined £1, or forty-eight hours' imprisonment, for allowing animals to stray in the streets.

There were also cases of assault, and of a woman for allowing animals to stray in the streets. The charge arose from a case heard at the late District Court, in which the present defendant sued the plaintiff for £11 17s., for splitting shingles for his use.

The defendant then swore that he had only received £1 from the plaintiff, and that he had not received the balance of the £11 17s. until after the trial.

A number of witnesses were called to prove the payment of the £1, which amount was also supported by the evidence given by the plaintiff on that day, and as to the payment of the £1 to him, the Bench (General Session, Bail adjourned) at the next Court of the Bench, for reasons, Mr. Leane appeared for the prosecution; Mr. Oulton for the defence.







journey to Kurlangong, and the undertaking had the assent of the Parliament, who never expressed until now the slightest dissatisfaction with the course the Government had taken. With regard to the propriety of that step, he had never entertained two opinions; he believed he had taken a proper course, and that the proceeding had the entire approval of the House at the time. The making up

the purpose of informing the electors that the requisition sent in to the magistrates requesting them to call a meeting for Saturday next, to determine whether they would give the Road Trusts or not, had been received, and that it now remained for the electors to appoint two assessors in terms of the Act. After some discussion it was decided that a deputation should wait upon the Police Magistrate this morning, to inform him of the matter, and the assessors suggested by the present trustees would act in conjunction with the magistrate, and if not then to nominate Mr. J. Brown and Mr. M. Gannon.

**THE LATE FIRE AT JOHNSON'S BAY.**—An enquiry into the origin of the fire, which broke out in a building belonging to the late Mr. Johnson, on last Tuesday night, was commenced by the city coroner yesterday, and adjourned to three o'clock on Monday next, for further evidence.

her addresses, and the speech throughout was replete with allusions to the "mechanical," "mechanical," "incapacitated," and other philosophical entities of a like kind, which on other occasions tortise the House.

Mr. BEE DOONAK:—A smart trick is played off at us by the conductors. The Globe buses go to Queen's Wharf, a good half mile from the stand in myriad-square, where the Newtown "buses stop." The conductors (we have heard them) of the latter, to the passengers who are going to Queen's Wharf, call out in a mumbling way, "side or walk," on which they make sound like "Queen's Wharf." On the deluded victim reaches the turn, and to explain, he is told that they only cried "side or walk," and he is not to be taken in by any other kind of words. The result is that the passengers are pretty plundered, but when a poor woman, with a child in her arms, and sixpence in her pocket, finds she is set down so far from her home, she must feel a deal of pain and indignation.







Weekly since every THURSDAY, at half-past 2 o'clock  
p.m.

**F**IFTY Shoe TRUNKS for SALE, at DELANEY'S,  
George-street.

CLAPHAM HOUSE, 278, George-street, Sydney.  
N.B. Two or three hundred empty packages for sale.

on, and is illustrated with numerous wood engravings. | ca. 3  
IES W. WAUGH, 286, George-street. | AE

only a few left. To be had only at C. and J. HAME, 77, King-street.

Terms, cash.







*Journal of Management Education* 30(6)p. 789-804

**QUARIN WARD.—CARGO is NOT a CANDIDATE.**

**THE ELECTORS of DENISON WARD.**—Gentlemen, I beg leave to offer myself as a candidate for Alderman for your Ward, feeling confident that I have faithfully fulfilled the trust reposed in me when I was elected to represent your interest in the Municipal Council of this City.

I am, Gentlemen, faithfully yours,  
**THOMAS M'KEOWN.**

October 28.

**REE WARD ELECTION.**—Be early at the polls on MONDAY, December 1, and vote for N. Polling-place, Bridge-street, opposite St. John's Church, for our best candidate, Mr. J. J. O'Connell.

**Vote for AITKEN. JAMES SIMPSON**  
secretary.

**STORY OF DOUBTLE WARD.**—Support Mr. AITKEN, the practical man, who would look after us, with justice to all.

**WAKE WARD.**—Mr. AITKEN'S ELECTION. A Committee MEETING will be held every evening, at Mr. D. Macrae's, Brougham Tavern, Vine-street.

**NOTES.**

**STORY OF DOUBTLE WARD. FITE FOR UNDERKIN.**

**ROY WARD.**—Mr. LANGLIFY will meet his friends and supporters at Mr. TOSSEY'S, Royal Residence, Liverpool-street, Darlington, THIS Friday, at 9.

**ROY WARD.**—A MEETING OF THE ELECTORS OF FITZROY WARD will be held at Fitzroy's Hotel, top of William-street, THIS EVENING, at half-past 7 o'clock. THIS MEETING will attend to the interests of the ELECTORS.

**B. JAMES,** hon. Secy.  
**J. BUTLER,** hon. Secretary.

**ROY WARD.**—Mr. LANGLIFY will meet his friends and supporters at Mr. Barlow's, Passenger Office of Fitzroy and Middlesbrough Railway, THIS Friday EVENING, at half-past seven.

**WAKE WARD.**—FITE FOR WARD. ELECTORS, if you are really desirous of being represented by a thoroughly competent man, FITE FOR AITKEN.

**WINSTON MUNICIPALITY.**—Notice is hereby given, that Mr. CHARLES MORRISON has been appointed to the office of Clerk of the Municipal Council to take effect from the 26th December.

M. E. MEYERS, Council Clerk. &c.  
 November.  
 Applicants who have sent in testimonials are re-  
 quired to apply for the same at the Council Chambers.  
 CRES TO LET.—superior accommodation. Apply  
 the post-office, 127, George-street.  
 CRES TO LET, Commercial Chambers, New Pave-  
 ment, opposite Exchange, 5, to let, a week. Apply  
 MART.  
 RAMATTA.—To LET, one of those pleasant dry  
 VOTTAGE, at the Domain Gate; rent only 6s. 6d.  
 RAAG for 2000 Tons of Free Goods. BROWN  
 SON, General commission agents, Market West-  
 minster.  
 K.T., a HOUSE in Palmer and Burton streets,  
 OMAR FISHER, shoe warehouse, Pitt-st. North,  
 K.T. or SELL, two HOUSES in Rourke-st. and one  
 in Rourke-st. Apply to JAMES DUFFY, 10, Rourke-  
 st. No. 25, Macleay-street, Darlinghurst, apply  
 COTTAGE, with every convenience. Apply on  
 ices.  
 K.T., a COTTAGE, delightfully situated at Dur-  
 ing Point. Apply Mr. BAKER, Victoria Hotel,  
 Sydney.  
 K.T., one of those first-class RESIDENCES,  
 never-before-tenanted, College-street, fronting Hyde Park,  
 and commanding a magnificent view of the city.  
 K.T. or FOR SALE, RICHMOND VILL,  
 featuring from and overlooking the Domain. For  
 plans and cards to view apply to RICHARDSON  
 and Sons, 101, Pitt-st.

AT, at edge of the best situations on the Clyde side, an HOTEL, containing 9 rooms and kitchen, 1 stable, storeroom, and other outhouses. Apply to MAZAR and CO. York-street.

LET, for 12 months from 1st January, No. 191, Macquarie-street North, two floors from Anderson, containing 8 rooms, kitchen, and scullery. Apply IRFAX, on the premises.

LET, a garage, for a term, that very comfortable and fully-furnished 3 roomed square, corner of

ST. DOWLING ST. Apply to RAPHAIL, Margaret-street.

LET, the SHOP No. 150, William-street, in the occupation of Mr. Gibson, possession will be given a week from this date. Apply to W. W. WARD, 52 Hunter-street. Sydney. October 12.

LET, those spacious STORES in Wyndham-street, recently occupied by Messrs. W. & A. Brown, now to MOSES MOSS and CO. Wyndham-street.

LET, or SMALL No. 118, Bourke-street, Woolloomooloo, containing six rooms, with good scullery, and every convenience for a gentel family. Rent, Apply Mrs. DALGARNO, two doors below.

LET, two very elegant and most commodious RESIDENCES, being 3 and 4 roomed, with all the most desirable and comfortable conveniences, and with stable, &c. Apply to BEAUMONT, in H.N. builders, Castlereagh-street.

LET, WARRICKHOUSE and Dwelling, with extensive grounds, in the occupation of Mr. ALLEN, at SALE, with OUTHOUSE, with yard in O'Connell-street, opposite RAIL OFFICE. Apply to FORBES 28, King-street.

LET, at Wollongong, a most desirable RESIDENCE, 6 rooms, with a large garden, and a stable.

and cheerful beyond the ordinary: a run for two  
and rent very moderate. Apply T. S. HAWTHORN,  
Glen Road.

**HOUSE.** No. 95, Elizabeth-street, a six-roomed  
HOUSE, with detached kitchen and servant's room,  
unfurnished house, in Judge-street. Also, a situ-  
ation in the House in Glen Road. Apply to Mr.  
KING, 95, Elizabeth-street.

**TO LET, the HOUSES Nos. 20 and 24, Cumberland-**  
**street,** in the respective occupations of Mrs. Dunn,  
Merewether, Esq., possession of which is to be  
on the 1st of January next. Apply to Mr. ELLIOT,  
32 Hunter-street.

**TO LET, the MOUSE No. 15, Brisbane-street,** at  
the back of Lyons-terrace, now in the occupation  
of Elliott, Esq., of the Bank of New South Wales,  
will be given on the 16th of November next.  
Mr. BILLYARD, 94, Hunter-street, Sydney.

**TO LET, with immediate possession, that first-class**  
**small BRICK and GRASSY HOUSE,** lately occupied by Mr. A. Hodgson. This  
is an excellent repair, and replete with every con-  
venience. Apply to THOMAS FARRELL, wine and  
spirits, a King-street.

**TO LET, at Christmas, HILLCOTE, on the**  
**lower Darling Point Road, containing eight rooms,**  
beach-house, and stable, with terrace garden, and  
orchard. Apply to Mr. J. B. GAY, in the City,  
or to Mr. SMYTH, Lyons-terrace, in George-  
square.

**TO LET, a Family Residence, lately occupied**  
by Dr. Stacey, containing 7 rooms, with kitchen,  
bath, room, coach-house, stabling, a garden, orchard, pad-  
dock, and cultivation ground (altogether about 260 acres,  
about 1000 ft. above sea level).

Parramatta Junction Station. Terms moderate.  
DUNMURE and STAFFORD, solicitors, 150,  
the street, Sydney.

DISPOSAL.—To LET, that comfortable COTTAGE,  
as well known in Clarendon, the residence of the  
Colonel, Esq., solicitor, within five minutes' walk  
down, and will be in close proximity of the in-  
land terminus for Parramatta and Blacktown.  
The cottage contains nine rooms, with entrance hall, facing  
Mountain, with cellars, detached kitchen,  
rooms, coach-house, stabling, lawn, garden,  
paddock, and cultivated orchard (abundant about  
a-acre). The cottage has just undergone a  
repair at considerable cost, and is now for let  
on the terms of the property of the late JOHN  
N. Esq., 118, George street, Sydney, and is  
he promises, to Mr. WILLIAM DEAN, Hawke-  
sley, or Mr. L. WHITE, Windsor.

PERTH MORNING HERALD AND  
SYDNEY MAIL  
ENTERTAINMENTS received by  
L. news agent, 248, George-street,  
L. Lower George-street  
Owner (late T. Lusty), Brickfield-hill,  
near Constitution Lane, Upper South Head Road.  
Owner, Steam Ferry, Balmain.  
Business, printer, Balmain.  
L. & Sydney, stationer, Newtown.

PERTH MORNING HERALD—  
Subscription, 3s. per quarter.  
CLASS TERMS OF ADVERTISEMENTS.—  
per line . . . . . One shilling.  
per line . . . . . Two shillings.  
per line . . . . . Three shillings.  
per line . . . . . Four shillings.

—Printed and published by JOHN FAIRFAX and SON,  
Office of the *Sydney Morning Herald*, 7111 and 7120  
Friday, November 29th, 1881